



Fleet Performance Metrics Open Discussion

2012 National Equipment Fleet Management Conference

Sonja J. Scheurer, Administrator
D. Scott Ratterree, Manager
Daniel E. Smith, Fleet Specialist

Objective

- To establish national standards for fleet management
- To encourage consistent reporting to allow not only for benchmarking, but **sharing and collaborating of best practices with other states**

Potential Next Steps/Discussion Items

☐ **Issue Statements/Briefings**

- Metrics s/b general to allow for wide application and accommodate state reporting requirements
- Stop light approach
- DOTs to develop methodology for metrics reporting based upon approved national parameters
- DOTs need to be able to retrieve specific metric detail to make assessments
- Encourage benchmarking and sharing of best practices amongst DOTs

☐ **Adopt four recommended national metrics?**

- Recommend/define a standard for each metric
- Provide any limitations in regards to compiling and reporting metrics

☐ **Review/Approval Process**

- Through EMTSP, organize a committee to discuss metric standards, limitations, and consideration of future metrics
- Committee to review, assess, and make final recommendation for performance metrics.
- Committee will recommend a timeline for metric implementation.
- Final metric(s) presented to EMTSP members for review/acceptance.

Comments/Questions

Key Performance/Result Indicators

☐ **Retention**

☐ **Utilization**

☐ **Preventive Maintenance (PM) Compliance**

☐ **Fleet Availability/Downtime**

☐ Work orders open greater than 60 days

☐ No Fuel Usage/Rejected fuel meters

☐ Scheduled vs. Non-scheduled repairs

☐ M5 work order hours vs. DCDS labor hours